Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	\Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/692,345	CHIBA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Roberts Culbert	1763
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Non-Final</u>	
(1) Roberts Culbert.	(3)	
(2) Bruce L. Adams.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 July 2006	Time: <u>1:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) rejections		
Claims discussed: 1 and 2	1	
Prior art documents discussed: JP 2002-162332 and U.S. Patent 6,388,239 to Muramatsu		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Discussed suggested amendments to the claims in view of the		3 DISCUSSED:
Part III.	,	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. If of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a writton of the record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	1	
		•
	<u> </u>	
M	1	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)